

March 30, 2006



Marlene H. Dortch
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
TW-A325
445 Twelfth St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

Re: *Notice of Ex parte* presentation in WB Docket No. 05-211

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On March 29, 2006, Harold Feld of Media Access Project met with John Grant of Commissioner Tate's office with regard to the above captioned proceeding.

The points raised by Mr. Feld were substantially identical to the arguments made in previously filed *ex partes*. The only additional information was to observe that Communications Daily on March 27 had reported that an analyst speculated that Verizon Wireless supported anonymous bidding because Verizon Wireless felt it had been targeted in some auctions. Mr. Feld also observed that the conduct of Auction 55, while not conclusive, lent some credence to this suspicion. In Auction 55, Cellco, Verizon Wireless' holding company, found itself in a number of unusually contested auctions and appeared to pay a premium for licenses relative to the prices paid for comparable licenses by others. However, this does not provide definitive proof that Verizon Wireless was "targeted" in Auction 55, since other factors might explain this pattern. It is sufficiently unusual, however, that the claim that Verizon Wireless was targeted cannot be dismissed.

In accordance with Section 1.1206(b) of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206, this letter is being filed with your office. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully Submitted,

Harold Feld
Senior Vice President

cc:
John Grant